China's Response to War in Ukraine

Testimony before the U.S.-China Economic & Security Review Commission Hearing on "Challenges for Chinese Policy in 2022: Zero-COVID, Ukraine, & Pacific Diplomacy"

3 August 2022

Sheena Chestnut Greitens, PhD Associate Professor and Director, Asia Policy Program University of Texas at Austin Visiting Fellow, American Enterprise Institute Distinguished Co-Chairs and Commissioners -- thank you for inviting me to testify today on how China has responded to war in Ukraine and the implications of Beijing's response for American national security and foreign policy.

Vladimir Putin's decision to invade Ukraine on February 24th posed important choices for the People's Republic of China (PRC). China has been actively supportive of Russia in the informational and diplomatic arenas, while its economic behavior has been mostly self-interested and material military support for Russia's war has been limited though routine military cooperation with Moscow has continued. Beijing's stance on the war appears to be determined largely by its perception of the need to counter and oppose the United States and the U.S.-led alliance system; many of its criticisms of Western policy on Ukraine extend and augment pre-existing themes about flaws in the American-led security order in both Europe and Asia. The unfolding of Xi Jinping's Global Security Initiative in parallel with these criticisms suggests that Beijing may be gearing up for a significant attempt to revise international order in the realm of global security, something the U.S. should watch closely. Moreover, although the Feb. 4th Russia-China Joint Statement implied that Ukraine and Taiwan were analogous, Beijing's post-invasion rhetoric has stridently rejected that comparison, even as the PRC observes the conflict to decide what lessons it can or should draw for Taiwan.

As other analysts have noted, the Russian invasion of Ukraine placed into irreconciliable conflict several of China's key priorities for 2022.¹ The most visible of these was Beijing's high-level partnership with Moscow, which was reaffirmed on February 4 and is based not only on close personal ties between the two leaders, but shared interests in energy, trade, and military technology, and common pursuit of an authoritarian political system with power concentrated in the top leader.² The second Chinese interest at play was a set of principles that Beijing has long espoused in diplomatic rhetoric and that were included in the Joint Statement: sovereignty, territorial integrity, and non-interference in the domestic affairs of other countries (all of which presumably preclude armed invasion and wars of aggression). The third major interest is Beijing's desire for stability in the run-up to the 20th Party Congress this fall, when Xi will assume an unusual third term – at a time when economic and political stability have already been challenged by China's zero-COVID policy and slowing economic growth.³ The implication for foreign policy is a need to maintain decent relationships with major trading partners such as the US and the EU, at a time when concern over human rights issues in Xinjiang and Hong Kong, and other assertive Chinese behavior abroad, has heightened tensions already.

Describing Chinese Rhetoric and Behavior Regarding the War in Ukraine

China's official messaging and the information it has shared about the Ukraine conflict have been strongly pro-Russia and aligned with Russia's own messaging⁴ – which is particularly notable given the centrality of information strategies to the unfolding course of the conflict. Perhaps the most consistent theme of China's messaging has been that ultimate blame lies with the United States and NATO, because the overexpansion of NATO in eastern Europe militarized the region and precipitated Russia's "special military operation." PRC Foreign Ministry spokesperson Hua Chunying, for example, asked in late February whether NATO and the U.S. had "forced Russia into a corner" by expanding NATO to their doorstep.⁵ She rebutted questions about the U.S. invoking the principle of sovereignty by saying, "the US is in no position to tell China off," and then argued that "China still faces a realistic threat from the US flanked by its several allies as they wantonly and grossly meddle in China's domestic affairs and undermine China's sovereignty and security on issues including Xinjiang, Hong Kong and Taiwan." References to NATO expansion among Chinese official social media accounts during

January-April 2022 far outnumbered those in a comparable period in 2021 (366 to 36).⁶ At multiple points since the conflict broke out, PRC diplomats and state media have also accused NATO and the United States of inflaming and profiting from the conflict,⁷ and China's amplification of Russian talking points has included active promotion of Russian disinformation claims, such as those on bioweapons labs in Ukraine.⁸ China's controlled domestic information space and social media platforms are similarly dominated by pro-Russian, anti-American messaging.⁹

Beyond rhetoric, what of Chinese diplomatic behavior? Bilateral communications between Russia and China have remained robust, including at senior levels. A call occurred between Putin and Xi the day after the invasion, and another took place on Xi's birthday in mid-June.¹⁰ Both Wang Yi and Li Zhanshu have also affirmed the partnership in the months since the invasion.¹¹

In multilateral settings, China's diplomatic actions have leaned toward Moscow, though with considerable free-riding in higher-profile forums. The PRC abstained in the initial UNGA vote (after insisting on removal of Chapter VII language), and in several subsequent UN votes, though it actively voted against the UNGA's decision to suspend Russia from the Human Rights Council.¹² In other, more specialized settings, Beijing has been openly supportive of Moscow, voting (alone) alongside Russia at an IAEA Board of Governors meeting that "deplored" Russia's invasion and in opposition to an International Court of Justice (ICJ) order that Russia should immediately halt all military action in Ukraine.¹³ The Asian Infrastructure and Investment Bank (AIIB) decision to halt lending in Russia was described by some media as a possible exception to this pattern of support in international institutions, but that outcome likely reflects AIIB's multilateral stakeholder structure – in which the vote share of NATO countries almost equals China's – and not "Beijing's" preferences at all.¹⁴ In aggregate, then, China's behavior in multilateral settings has been supportive of Russia, especially when visibility and costs are relatively low.

Economically, the picture is somewhat more complicated, given that "China's" behavior is an aggregation of party-state and corporate/financial actors. Official rhetoric has stridently opposed the use of sanctions, to a degree that caused friction at the China-EU virtual summit in early April.¹⁵ In late June, the U.S. Commerce Department placed five Chinese entities on the BIS Entity List specifically for supporting Russia's military and/or defense-industrial base after the invasion – but thus far, U.S. officials also say they see no sign of "systematic evasion of sanctions,"¹⁶ likely due to the reality that China's economic interests with Europe far exceed those in Russia and could be jeopardized by intentional sanctions backfilling.¹⁷ Simple self-interest, not solidarity with Russia, appears to be the consistent principle guiding Chinese actors' behavior in the economic realm.

Material support from China for Russia's military has been limited thus far, despite reports of Western government concern on this front in the spring.¹⁸ At the same time, however, the PRC has also not paused its military relationship with Russia, opting to continue with joint exercises in May 2022 during President Biden's visit to Tokyo for a Quad meeting.¹⁹ Signing the strategic partnership in early February may also have been a broadly enabling factor for the invasion, with Putin's perception of a permissive security environment in the Russian Far East giving Moscow a freer hand to draw troops from there to send into Ukraine.²⁰

Understanding China's Motivations & Resulting Policy Implications

Available evidence – including the Joint Statement -- suggests that Russia and China share a view that the United States is not only the main threat to their external security but a threat to their

internal stability; Moscow and Beijing therefore share a common interest in constraining American power in the international system.²¹ Opposition to NATO enlargement – a central theme of China's response to the war – was a new addition to the Joint Statement in 2022.²² It also stated:

"Russia and China stand against attempts by external forces to undermine security and stability in their common adjacent regions, intend to counter interference by outside forces in the internal affairs of sovereign countries under any pretext, oppose colour revolutions, and will increase cooperation in the aforementioned areas."²³

This language constructs clear parallels in Russia's and China's views of the common security threats they face at home and abroad, and outlines the two countries' willingness to cooperate against "external influence" on their periphery. Xi's affirmation in June of "the legitimacy of the actions taken by Russia to protect the fundamental national interests in the face of challenges to its security created by external forces" suggest that this plays a significant role in Beijing's thinking.²⁴ In a Maoist sense, then, Russia and China share a view of the "principal contradiction," oriented around the need to counter the U.S. and its allies/partners, who ring the Eurasian landmass from NATO in the west to the American alliance structure in Asia in the east.²⁵ It also suggests that from China's standpoint, there is little to be gained from any effort to resolve transatlantic security concerns; as one state media host put it, "Can you help me fight your friend so I can concentrate on fighting you later?"²⁶

Indeed, in parallel to Beijing making these criticisms of the U.S. and NATO over Ukraine specifically, Xi Jinping also rolled out his new Global Security Initiative (GSI), which he announced at the Boao Forum in April.²⁷ While the GSI is still more a concept than a concrete policy agenda, and as yet includes considerable repackaging of past Chinese complaints about the inadequacy of the global security order and the moral bankruptcy of American security leadership,²⁸ the Initiative nonetheless represents a potential broader Chinese challenge to regional and global security order, and particularly to the U.S. alliance system worldwide. Chinese analysts are already writing that the U.S. approach to national security limits common security to "like-minded allies" and pursues security for those in the alliance network at the expense of those outside it.²⁹

Moreover, Chinese-language analysis explicitly frames the GSI as an international projection of Xi's 2014 Comprehensive National Security Concept (CNSC).³⁰ The CNSC is first and foremost a strategy aimed at safeguarding the political and regime security of the Chinese party-state -- "the Party's leadership, China's socialist system, and the authority of the CCP Central Committee with Xi Jinping as the core."³¹ The CNSC was also vague when it was first unveiled in April 2014, but over the course of the past eight years, it has provided the framework by which Xi has transformed China's national security bureaucracy, legal landscape, budgets, and policy choices.³² If that is the precedent for GSI, as early indicators from Chinese-language literature suggest it is, then the U.S. should be ready for a wide-ranging and fundamental attempt to revise regional and global security order to be more favorable to the PRC – an effort to make the rules of the international system compatible not just with the PRC's external security interests, but its desire for internal regime security.

How has the international community responded to China's position on the war in Ukraine? The answer depends on which part of the world one talks about. In Russia, China's position has been perceived as setting (disappointing) limits on the partnership between Beijing and Moscow.³³ In Europe, China's support for Russia has contributed to a further hardening of many European leaders' and publics' negative views of China.³⁴ This shift has produced concrete policy shifts; the new NATO Strategic Concept issued in late June devoted more space to transatlantic cooperation on challenges posed by China than any previous NATO document.³⁵ In the Global South, however, China's

messages may be finding a more receptive audience, as Beijing has sought to use the conflict to highlight concerns it shares with developing countries while also promoting its own initiatives (GSI and its economic counterpart, the Global Development Initiative) as preferential alternatives to existing international order.³⁶ It is difficult to systematically assess how successful these efforts have been; leaders in Brazil and South Africa have echoed PRC talking points, while countries like India have been much more skeptical.³⁷ Systematic polling data across the Global South remains very limited and, in most cases, has not yet been updated to reflect the impact of the Ukraine conflict, so the answer is, at present, simply unknown. This suggests a strong need, going forward, to disaggregate and systematically measure not only how China's position on Ukraine evolves, but how that position is impacting perceptions of Chinese foreign policy and China's role in Asia and the world.

The final set of possible implications have to do with Taiwan. The parallelism in the February 4th Joint Statement not only primed global audiences to analogize in their own minds between Ukraine in Europe and Taiwan in Asia, but to believe that *China* also viewed them as comparable. Once the conflict began, however, Beijing began to stridently disavow comparability, framing Taiwan as a matter not of authoritarianism vs. democracy, but as secession vs. the maintenance of territorial integrity.³⁸

As various think pieces and panels have considered what lessons Ukraine holds for Taiwan,³⁹ the similarities between the two situations are worth listing. Taiwan and Ukraine are both smaller, nonnuclear powers facing the threat of invasion by a conventionally superior nuclear power. Both are Western-oriented democracies facing authoritarian opponents who seek to revise the status quo to incorporate part or all of their territory and see their independent existence as largely illegitimate. And both are located in regions geographically distant from the U.S., without a formal security guarantee from the U.S. or other great powers to assure their survival. Ukraine therefore potentially offers important lessons on a range of issues: the utility of intelligence diplomacy in strategic warning and coalition-building; the efficacy of particular forms of security assistance; the urgency of getting both procurement and manpower mobilization right in advance of a potential conflict; and the need to revisit and update pre-conflict assessments of the effectiveness of both political warfare and likely battlefield performance (to take just a few key examples).⁴⁰

Any lessons drawn from Ukraine for Taiwan, however, must be applied in very different geographic and political context. Ukraine (pop. 44 million) is approximately the size of Texas; Taiwan is closer to the size of Maryland and more densely populated (pop. 23 million). Ukraine is a land conflict environment, whereas Taiwan would be a maritime one, affecting everything from actual military operations to noncombatant evacuation and humanitarian assistance to logistics and resupply. China and Russia have different nuclear doctrines, at least for now, affecting calculations about escalation risk.⁴¹ And the PLA is also attempting to learn from the conflict in Ukraine – for example, on the need for overwhelming force to achieve a quick, decisive victory – so it is unlikely simply to repeat Russian mistakes.⁴² Diplomatically, there are also significant differences: Taiwan is not recognized by most nations as a sovereign country and lacks a seat at the UN; China is also far more enmeshed in the global economy than Russia, making the costs of multilateral sanctions higher and economic punishment harder to coordinate. Additionally, Zelensky's personal charisma and his appeals to democratic identity will not have easily replicable effects, especially in a region that is far less consistently democratic than Europe. All these factors combined mean that Taipei would likely face an uphill battle to marshal global support.

Thus while it is probably too early to say what lessons Beijing will draw from the conflict in Ukraine, either in terms of military operations, diplomatic strategy, or economic insulation to pre-emptively

counter sanctions, what lessons China draws from the conflict bear close watching. As that process unfolds, analysts should take care to focus on what they actually observe about the learning process in Beijing, without assuming that China's lessons will mirror our own or those of other external observers. Understanding what Beijing learns will be key to effective deterrence and to managing the risks of conflict in the Taiwan Strait in the years ahead.

REFERENCES

¹ Evan Feigenbaum, "China Faces Irreconciliable Choices on Ukraine," Carnegie Endowment, 24 February 2022, <u>https://carnegieendowment.org/2022/02/24/china-faces-irreconcilable-choices-on-ukraine-pub-86515;</u> Evan Medeiros, "China's Strategic Straddle: Analyzing Beijing's Diplomatic Response to the Russian Invasion of Ukraine," *China Leadership Monitor*, 1 June 2022. For Chinese coverage, see *Zhongguo Xinwen Wang* [*China News Network*], "习近平与普京共赴"冬奥之约"中俄并肩"一起向未来 [Xi Jinping and Putin 'Jointly Attend the Olympics'; Russia and China go Shoulder-to-Shoulder 'Together to the Future'," 3 February, 2022, <u>https://www.chinanews.com.cn/gn/2022/02-03/9667970.shtml</u>

² "Joint Statement of the Russian Federation and the People's Republic of China on the International Relations Entering a New Era and the Global Sustainable Development," 4 February 2022, <u>http://en.kremlin.ru/supplement/5770</u>

³ Jason Douglas, Stella Yifan Xie, and Selina Cheng, China's Economy Appears to be Stalling, Threatening to Drag Down Global Growth," *Wall Street Journal*, 1 May 2022, <u>https://www.wsj.com/articles/china-economy-recession-covid-lockdowns-11651434168?mod=article_inline</u>

⁴ Maria Repnikova, "China's Propaganda on the War in Ukraine," *China Leadership Monitor*, 1 June 2022; "Minitrue: Turn Down Temperature, Strictly Control Content of Ukraine Situation," *China Digital Times,* March 2022, https://chinadigitaltimes.net/2022/03/minitrue-turn-down-temperature-strictlycontrol-contenton-ukraine-situation/

⁵ Hua Chunying, "华春莹: 北约 5 次东扩至俄家门口, 是否想过把一个大国逼到绝地的后果 [Hua Chunying: NATO Expanded Eastward 5 Times to Russia's Doorstep. Did It Think About the Consequences of Cornering a Great Power?]," *Global Times*, 23 February 2022,

https://world.huanqiu.com/article/46w0eT0bUid; "Foreign Ministry Spokesperson Hua Chunying's Regular Press Conference," 24 February 2022,

https://www.fmprc.gov.cn/mfa_eng/xwfw_665399/s2510_665401/2511_665403/202202/t20220224_1064_5282.html

⁶ Zack Cooper et al. "China's State Media and Government Officials are Backing Russia on Ukraine War," German Marshall Fund of the United States, 13 March 2022, <u>https://securingdemocracy.gmfus.org/chinas-state-media-and-government-officials-are-backing-russia-on-ukraine-war/</u>

⁷ For example, "全球连线:美国从这里向乌克兰输送武器 隔岸拱火三个算计 [U.S. Sends Weapons to Ukraine, Stoking Fires from the Opposite Bank with 3 Calculations]," *Xinhua*, 21 March 2022, <u>http://www.news.cn/world/2022-03/21/c 1128489508.htm;</u> Le Yucheng, "Cherish Peace, Work Together in Unity, and Pursue a Win-Win Future in the Asia-Pacific," Address by Vice Foreign Minister Le Yucheng, 4th International Forum on Security and Strategy, 19 March 2022, <u>https://www.mfa.gov.cn/eng/wjbxw/202203/t20220319_10653460.html</u>

⁸ Cooper et al 2022; U.S. Department of State, "People's Republic of China Efforts to Amplify the Kremlin's Voice on Ukraine," Global Engagement Center, 2 May 2022, <u>https://www.state.gov/disarming-</u>

disinformation/prc-efforts-to-amplify-the-kremlins-voice-onukraine/. See also David Bandurski, "China and Russia Are Joining Forces to Spread Disinformation." Brookings Institution, 11 March 2022, https://www.brookings.edu/techstream/china-and-russia-arejoining-forces-to-spread-disinformation/; CGTN, "Examining the Bucha Evidencem," *CGTN*, 6 April 2022, https://newseu.cgtn.com/news/2022-04-06/Truth-or-fake-Examining-the-Bucha-evidence-18ZvxAkrQQM/index.html; Elizabeth Dwoskin, "China is Russia's Most Powerful Weapon for Information Warfare," *Washington Post*, 8 April 2022, https://www.washingtonpost.com/technology/2022/04/08/russia-china-disinformation/; Paul Mozur, Steven Lee Myers, and John Liu, "China's Echoes of Russia's Alternate Reality Intensify Around the World," *New York Times*, 11 April 2022, https://www.nytimes.com/2022/04/11/technology/china-russiapropaganda.html

⁹ Maria Repnikova and Wendy Zhou, "What China's Social Media is Saying About Ukraine," *The Atlantic*, 11 March 2022, <u>https://www.theatlantic.com/ideas/archive/2022/03/china-xi-ukraine-war-america/627028/</u>

¹⁰ Stuart Lau, "China's Xi Gives Most Direct Backing to Putin Since Invasion," *Politico*, 15 June 2022, <u>https://www.politico.eu/article/china-xi-give-most-direct-backing-putin-invasion-ukraine/</u>

¹¹ Foreign Ministry of the PRC, "Wang Yi Holds Talks with Russian Foreign Minister Sergey Lavrov," 30 March 2022, <u>https://www.fmprc.gov.cn/mfa_eng/zxxx_662805/202203/t20220331_10658029.html</u>; *Renmin Ribao.*"栗战书同俄罗斯联邦委员会主席马特维延科举行会谈 [Li Zhanshu Holds Talks with Chairman of Russian Federation Council Speaker Matviyenko]," 16 April 2022, <u>http://paper.people.com.cn/rmrb/html/2022-04/16/nw.D110000renmrb_20220416_5-01.htm</u>

¹² For a table summarizing votes, and China's position on each, see Medeiros 2022: 11-12.

¹³ International Court of Justice (ICJ), Allegations of Genocide under the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (Ukraine v. Russian Federation), 2022, <u>https://www.icj-cij.org/en/case/182</u>

¹⁴ James T. Areddy and Liyan Qi, "Beijing-Based Multilateral Lender Suspends Russian Activities," *Wall Street Journal*, 3 March 2022, <u>https://www.wsj.com/livecoverage/russia-ukraine-latest-news-2022-03-03/card/beijing-based-multilateral-lender-suspends-russia-related-activities-62Z9MLgC5yhNDWOXX0c4</u>

¹⁵ Le Yucheng 2022; Lorne Cook, Samuel Petrequin, and Ken Moritsugu, "China Rejects Sanctions As Ukraine War Tops Summit Agenda," *Associated Press*, 1 April 2022, <u>https://apnews.com/article/russia-ukraine-business-beijing-economy-europe-f904dfdf7f17cf1259b34d91d226d353</u>

¹⁶ Demetri Sevastopulo, "U.S. Blacklists Chinese Companies for Allegedly Supporting Russian Military," *Financial Times*, 28 June 2022, <u>https://www.ft.com/content/a866bf53-ed1a-4329-aa01-2d7c1fcf305d</u>

¹⁷ Huang Tianlei, and Nicholas Lardy, "China is too tied to the global economy to risk helping Russia." Peterson Institute for International Economics, 15 March 2022, <u>https://www.piie.com/blogs/realtime-economic-issues-watch/china-too-tied-global-economy-risk-helping-russia</u>; *Federal Register*, "Addition of Entities, Revision and Correction of Entries, and Removal of Entities from the Entity List," 2022-14069, 30 June 2022 (filed 28 June), <u>https://www.federalregister.gov/public-inspection/2022-14069/addition-of-entities-revision-and-correction-of-entries-and-removal-of-entities-from-the-entity-list</u>

¹⁸ Stuart Lau, "EU has 'very reliable' evidence China is considering military support for Russia," *Politico*, 18 March 2022, <u>https://www.politico.eu/article/eu-has-very-reliable-evidence-china-is-considering-military-aid-for-russia/</u>

¹⁹ Edward Wong, "Russia and China Held Military Exercises in East Asia as Biden Visited," *New York Times*, 24 May 2022, https://www.nytimes.com/2022/05/24/us/politics/russia-china-bombers-biden.html

²⁰ Victor Santora, Jane Arraf, and Michael Levenson, "Kremlin Deploys New Troops to Ukraine as Both Sides Gird for a War of Attrition," *New York Times*, 30 April 2022, <u>https://www.nytimes.com/live/2022/04/30/world/ukraine-russia-war-news</u>

²¹ Elsewhere, I have examined two other potential contributing factors to China's behavior: the possibility China was caught off guard by both the course of the Russian invasion and its consequences and has simply not yet recalibrated; and the possibility that an increasingly personalist, information-sclerotic regime in Beijing locked itself into a strategic alignment at the top of the system that it is now politically infeasible to undo. Sheena Chestnut Greitens, "China's Response to War in Ukraine," *Asian Survey* (fall 2022, forthcoming); see also Sheena Chestnut Greitens, "China, Russia, and Ukraine," Association for Asian Studies / UC Press blog, 24 March 2022, https://www.ucpress.edu/blog/58807/china-russia-ukraine/

²² Anna Kireeva, "The Limits to Russia and China's 'No Limits' Friendship," *East Asia Forum*, 22 March 2022, <u>https://www.eastasiaforum.org/2022/03/23/the-limits-to-russia-and-chinas-nolimits-friendship/;</u> Roderick Kefferputz and Vincent Brussee, "China and Russia: United in Opposition, MERICS, 16 June 2022, <u>https://merics.org/en/short-analysis/china-and-russia-united-opposition</u>

²³ Interestingly, at least one Russia scholar interpreted "common adjacent regions" to refer to Central Asia, while most Western analysts appear to have interpreted it as a reference to shared challenges across the regional security environments, with Ukraine and Taiwan as specific potential flashpoints. Igor Denisov, "No Limits? Understanding China's Engagement with Russia on Ukraine," *The Diplomat*, 24 March 2022, https://thediplomat.com/2022/03/no-limits-understanding-chinasengagement-with-russia-on-ukraine/

²⁴ Lingling Wei and Sha Hua, "China's Xi Reaffirms Support for Moscow in Call with Putin." *Wall Street Journal*, 15 June 2022, <u>https://www.wsj.com/articles/chinas-xi-fails-to-endorse-putin-over-ukraine-in-call-with-russian-leader-11655299293</u>

²⁵ Michael Cohen, "If Xi Wants out of his Putin Bromance, He Sure Isn't Acting Like It," *The New Republic*, 18 March 2022, <u>https://newrepublic.com/article/165789/xi-jinping-putin-bromance-biden-call</u>

²⁶ Liu Xin, [@LiuXininBeijing], tweet,18 March 2022, https://twitter.com/LiuXininBeijing/status/1505043155682402306

²⁷ Xi Jinping, "Xi Jinping Delivers a Keynote Speech at the Opening Ceremony of the Boao Forum for Asia Annual Conference 2022," Foreign Ministry of the People's Republic of China, 21 April 2022, https://www.fmprc.gov.cn/mfa_eng/zxxx_662805/202204/t20220421_10671083.html

²⁸ Point made by Taylor Fravel in a CSIS Interpret: China webinar on GSI, 14 July 2022, <u>https://www.csis.org/events/xis-new-global-security-initiative</u>. For an example of previous calls to revise global security order, see "Xi Jinping: Adhere to cooperation, innovation, rule of law and win-win cooperation, and jointly carry out global security governance," keynote speech at Interpol's 86th General Assembly (Beijing, 26 September 2017), <u>http://cpc.people.com.cn/n1/2017/0927/c64094-29561212.html</u>

²⁹ Chen Xiangyang, Dong Chunling, Han Liqun, "Deep Comprehension of the Global Security Initiative: Coordinating Our Own Security and Common Security" ["深刻领悟全球安全倡议+统筹自身和共同安全"], China Institutes of Contemporary International Relations (CICIR), 9 May 2022; Feng Weijiang, "The Theoretical Foundation of the Global Security Initiative – The Comprehensive National Security Concept," 16 June 2022, <u>https://www.163.com/dy/article/HA0SKOJ9051495OJ.html.</u>

³⁰ Chen et al, "Deep Comprehension of the Global Security Initiative"; Feng, "The Theoretical Foundation of the Global Security Initiative"; Tian Wenlin, "The Epochal Value of the Global Security Initiative Surpasses the Traditional Western Security Outlook" ["全球安全倡议的时代价值超越西方传统安全观"], *Contemporary World*, 16 May 2022.

³¹ Sheena Chestnut Greitens, "How Does China Think about National Security?" in *The China Questions II* (Harvard University Press, 2022); Sheena Chestnut Greitens, *Preventive Repression: Internal Security and Chinese Grand Strategy under Xi Jinping* (book manuscript in progress).

32 Chestnut Greitens, "How Does China Think about National Security?"

³³ Elizabeth Wishnick, "Strategic Partner or Strategic Player? Russian Asia Experts Assess China's Ukraine Policy," *China Leadership Monitor*, 1 June 2022.

³⁴ Finbarr Bermingham, "EU, US Slam China Over Russia Ties." *CNN*, 23 April 2022, <u>https://www.scmp.com/news/china/diplomacy/article/3175254/eu-us-slam-china-over-russia-ties-alleged-falsehoods-ukraine</u>; Laura Silver et al, "Negative Views of China Tied to Critical Views of Its Policies on Human Rights," Pew Research, June 29 2022, <u>https://www.pewresearch.org/global/2022/06/29/negative-views-of-china-tied-to-critical-views-of-its-policies-on-human-rights/</u>

³⁵ NATO [North Atlantic Treaty Organization], NATO 2022 Strategic Concept, <u>https://www.nato.int/strategic-concept/</u>

³⁶ Nadege Rolland, "China's Southern Strategy," *Foreign Affairs*, June 2022, <u>https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/china/2022-06-09/chinas-southern-strategy</u>

³⁷ Medeiros 2022; Repnikova 2022.

³⁸ Hua Chunying, "China Says Taiwan is 'not Ukraine'," *Reuters* (video), 23 February 2022, <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zwiD32UzSUM</u>; *Global Times*, "Taiwan is not Ukraine,' linking the two is to create new crisis across the Taiwan Straits: Chinese FM," 28 March 2022, <u>https://www.globaltimes.cn/page/202203/1256993.shtml</u>; *Global Times*, "Chinese Embassy Refutes…" 2 April 2022, <u>https://www.globaltimes.cn/page/202204/1257440.shtml</u>

³⁹ Chris Horton, "The Lessons Taiwan is Drawing From Ukraine," *The Atlantic*, 7 May 2022, https://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2022/05/defend-taiwan-democracy-chinathreat/629782/; Jeffrey Hornung, Ukraine's Lessons for Taiwan," *War on the Rocks*, 17 March 2022; James Baron, "Taiwan and Ukraine: Parallels, Divergences, and Potential Lessons," *GlobalAsia* 17/2 (June 2022), https://www.globalasia.org/v17no2/cover/taiwan-and-ukraine-parallels-divergences-and-potentiallessons_james-baron; Kharis Templeman, "Taiwan is not Ukraine. Stop Linking their Fates Together," *War on the Rocks*, 27 January, 2022, https://warontherocks.com/2022/01/taiwan-is-not-ukraine-stop-linking-theirfates-together/

⁴⁰ For a more detailed discussion of these lessons, including sources, see Sheena Chestnut Greitens, "China's Response to War in Ukraine," *Asian Survey* (fall 2022, forthcoming).

⁴¹ Caitlin Talmadge, "What Putin's Nuclear Threats Mean for the U.S.," Wall Street Journal, 3 March 2022.

⁴² Rebecca Falconer, "CIA Chief: Putin's War on Ukraine Forcing China Taiwan Invasion Rethink," *Axios*, 21 July 2022, https://www.axios.com/2022/07/21/russia-ukraine-war-china-taiwan-invasion-rethink-cia-chief; "Chinese Military Lessons from Ukraine," Carnegie Endowment panel, 18 July 2022, https://carnegieendowment.org/2022/07/18/chinese-military-lessons-from-ukraine-event-7909